

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,794	10/11/2005	Klas Olofsson	P/1228-202	4510
	7590 02/09/2007 FABER GERB & SOFFEN	1	P/1228-202 4510 EXAMINER NGUYEN, TU MINH	INER
1180 AVENUE	OF THE AMERICAS			TU MINH
NEW YORK, N	XX 100368403			PAPER NUMBER
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MON	NTHS	02/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		· :	X
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	U
	10/552,794	OLOFSSON, KLAS	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	,
·	Tu M. Nguyen	3748	·
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence add	lress
eriod for Reply	TOLVIC SET TO EVOIDE AM	ONTU(O) OD TUIDTY (20) DAVC
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ren. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this constant of the constant of	
tatus		; ; ;	•
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	25 January 2007.	. : :	
, 	This action is non-final.	·.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all		ers, prosecution as to the	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	·	•	
enocition of Claime			
sposition of Claims	415 o	· ·	
4) Claim(s) 1,3,4,6,8 and 9 is/are pending in		. :	٠
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	idrawn from consideration.	· , ·	
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,6,8 and 9</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) 1,0,4,0,0 and 5 is are rejected.			٠
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	nd/or election requirement.	•	
			•
oplication Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam		Linetad to builded Evening	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 11 October 2005 is. Applicant may not request that any objection to			er.
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			R 1.121(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by th			
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	; 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	aanta hawa haan saasiyad		
1. Certified copies of the priority docum		annlication No	
2. Certified copies of the priority docun3. Copies of the certified copies of the		•	Stage
application from the International Bu	•	TOOCITOG III UIIO HAUOHAI C	ugu
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	•	received.	
	•		
tachment(s)	, –	D	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	,	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of I	nformal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	·	

Application/Control Number: 10/552,794

Art Unit: 3748

DETAILED ACTION

1. An Applicant's Request for Continued Examination (RCE) and an Applicant's Amendment filed on January 25, 2007 have been entered. Claims 1 and 6 have been amended. Overall, claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 8, and 9 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office Action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 4, 6, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Howe et al. (U.S. Patent 5,009,065).

Re claims 1 and 6, as shown in Figures 13-14, Howe et al. disclose an apparatus for containing a particle filter for an exhaust system of a combustion engine and a method for regenerating said particle filter, the apparatus comprising:

- the filter (32) adapted to being regenerated by spontaneous combustion of particles accumulated in the filter;
 - a silencer (714) which encloses the filter;
- a bypass duct (730) via which exhaust gases from the combustion engine in operation are arranged to be led to bypass past the filter (32) when the counter pressure in the exhaust gases which is caused by the filter exceeds a set level (see lines 1-13 of column 13), and the duct

(730) leading the exhaust gases past the filter through a space (730) inside the silencer, the space (730) surrounding the filter (32);

- a valve (40) which is operable to open when the counter pressure in the exhaust gases is above the set level, for leading exhaust gases from the combustion engine past the filter; and

- a catalyst (758) and a device (40) operable for causing the exhaust gases to pass through the catalyst during bypassing of the filter.

Re claims 4 and 9, the apparatus and method of Howe et al. further comprise at least one pressure sensor (must be present to detect a back pressure as indicated on lines 4-13 of column 13) for detecting the counter pressure, the sensor produces output signals which are operable for controlling the bypassing of the filter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office Action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Howe et al. as applied to claims 1 and 6, respectively, above, in view of Takahashi et al. (U.S. Patent 6,918,463).

Application/Control Number: 10/552,794

Art Unit: 3748

The apparatus and method of Howe et al. disclose the invention as cited above, however, fail to disclose that the valve is operable to open because of the action of the pressure of the exhaust gases against a holding-back spring.

As shown in Figure 5, Takahashi et al. also disclose a muffler for an engine, comprising a filter (6), a silencer (2) that encloses the filter, a bypass duct (7) that allows an exhaust gas stream to bypass the filter, and a valve (9) to selectively lead the exhaust gas stream to the bypass duct or to the filter. As indicated on lines 34-49 of column 3, Takahashi et al. teach that it is conventional in the art to utilize a holding-back spring in the valve so that the valve is operable to open because of the action of the pressure of the exhaust gases against the holding-back spring. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made, to have utilized the teaching by Takahashi et al. in the apparatus and method of Howe et al., since the use thereof would have been routinely practiced by those with ordinary skill in the art.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to the references applied in the previous Office Action have been fully considered but they are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Prior Art

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure and consists of one patent: Torosian et al. (U.S. Patent 3,841,841) further disclose a state of the art.

Art Unit: 3748

Communication

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Tu Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4862.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Thomas E. Denion, can be reached on (571) 272-4859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TMN

February 2, 2007

Tu M. Nguyen

Primary Examiner

Tu M. Nguyen

Art Unit 3748